

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Inventor:	Tomoya URUSHIHARA et al.	Art Unit 2618
Appln. No.:	10/584,322	Exr. R. Dean
Filed:	June 26, 2006	Conf. No. 9826
For:	RADIO TERMINAL DEVICE, MANAGEMENT TERMINAL DEVICE, AND RADIO COMMUNICATION METHOD	

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Alexandria VA, 22131

Sir:

In response to the pending Restriction Requirement, Applicants hereby elect Group I, Claims 1-23.

Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement. No unduly extensive or burdensome search would be required to examine the various claims of the noted Groups in the same application. MPEP §803 states:

"If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner *must* examine it on the merits even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions." (Emphasis added)

In the present case, the search for all pending claims together would not be burdensome.

Moreover, from the standpoint of costs to the Applicants involved in filing, issuance and maintenance fees relating to separate applications if the present Restriction Requirement is